# **IPASIR-UP: User Propagators for CDCL**


Katalin Fazekas<sup>1</sup>, **Aina Niemetz**<sup>2</sup>, Mathias Preiner<sup>2</sup>, Markus Kirchweger<sup>1</sup>, Stefan Szeider<sup>1</sup>, Armin Biere<sup>3</sup>

SMT Workshop July 5, 2023

<sup>1</sup> TU Wien



<sup>2</sup> Stanford University







## **IPASIR-UP** in a Nutshell

## IPASIR-UP = IPASIR + User Propagators

- » a SAT solver interface for
- interactive incremental SAT solving



ightharpoonup Our focus here: Integration as CDCL( $\mathcal{T}$ ) SAT solver

1

# The CDCL( $\mathcal{T}$ ) Lazy SMT Framework

- » propositional abstraction of the input formula
- $\gg$  iteratively refined until abstraction is  $\mathcal{T}$ -consistent or unsat
- » theory layer guides the search of the SAT solver
- » online, tight integration of SAT solver
  - >> theory layer interacts with SAT solver during the search
  - » backward communication channel to notify theory layer about variable assignments, decisions, backtracks
  - » theory layer derives conflicts, propagates theory literals, suggests decisions based on theory-guided heuristics

# CDCL(T) SAT solver: Current State-of-the-Art


- » no standardized SAT solver interface for interactive incremental SAT solving
- » solver-specific workarounds and modifications to the SAT solver
- » error prone, high potential for unintentional performance hits
- » difficult to replace
- » missed opportunities to take advantage of improvements in SAT

## **IPASIR-UP: A New Interface for Interactive CDCL**

- » interface to support standardized interactions with the SAT solver during solving
- » extends the standardized IPASIR interface
- Needs to be implemented in SAT solvers (only once)
- + Easy to use
- + Solver independent application development
- lacktriangle No more black-box SAT solving o new potentials
- + Standardized and clean interactions


## **IPASIR Model of Incremental SAT Solvers**

- » Re-entrant Incremental Satisfiability API (IPASIR)
- » Supports interactions between solve calls




## **IPASIR Model of Incremental SAT Solvers**


- » Re-entrant Incremental Satisfiability API (IPASIR)
- » Supports interactions between solve calls




## **IPASIR Model of Incremental SAT Solvers**

» Supports interactions between solve calls







- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)




- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)
- » Influence search
  - 1. overrule found solutions




- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)
- » Influence search
  - 1. overrule found solutions
  - 2. decide decisions and phases




- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)
- » Influence search
  - 1. overrule found solutions
  - 2. decide decisions and phases
  - add propagations (without adding clauses)



- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)
- » Influence search
  - 1. overrule found solutions
  - 2. decide decisions and phases
  - add propagations (without adding clauses)
  - 4. add new clauses anytime



- » Inspect search
  - o notify (all trail changes)
- » Influence search
  - 1. overrule found solutions
  - 2. decide decisions and phases
  - add propagations (without adding clauses)
  - 4. add new clauses anytime
  - 5. explain propagations



## **Example C++ Implementation**

```
class ExternalPropagator {
2 public:
    virtual ~ExternalPropagator () { }
   virtual void notify assignment (int lit, bool is fixed) {}
   virtual void notify_new_decision_level () {}
    virtual void notify_backtrack (size_t new_level) {}
   virtual int cb_decide () { return 0; }
9
   virtual int cb_propagate () { return 0; }
10
    virtual int cb_add_reason_clause_lit (int propagated_lit) {
        return 0:
    virtual bool cb_check_found_model (const std::vector<int> & model) {
        return true:
    7
16
    virtual bool cb has external clause () { return false; }
18
    virtual int cb add external clause lit () { return 0; }
20 };
```

### **Additional Functions**

```
1 // VALTD = UNKNOWN | SATISFIED | UNSATISFIED
2 //
3 // require (VALID) -> ensure (VALID)
4 11
5 void connect external propagator (ExternalPropagator * propagator);
7 // require (VALID) -> ensure (VALID)
8 //
void disconnect_external_propagator ();
11 // require (VALID_OR_SOLVING) /\ CLEAN(var) -> ensure (VALID_OR_SOLVING)
12 //
void add_observed_var (int var);
15 // require (VALID) -> ensure (VALID)
16 //
17 void remove observed var (int var);
19 // require (VALID_OR_SOLVING) -> ensure (VALID_OR_SOLVING)
20 //
21 bool is_decision (int observed_var);
23 // require (VALID_OR_SOLVING) -> ensure (VALID_OR_SOLVING)
24 //
void phase (int lit);
26
27 // require (VALID OR SOLVING) -> ensure (VALID OR SOLVING)
28 //
29 void unphase (int lit);
```

## IPASIR-UP in cvc5

- » state-of-the-art SMT solver
- $\gg$  based on CDCL( $\mathcal{T}$ ) framework
- » integrates highly customized version of MiniSat
  - o supports production of resolution proofs
  - push/pop of assertion levels
  - o custom theory-guided decision heuristics
- » difficult to replace

#### With CaDiCaL via IPASIR-UP

- »  $\sim$ 700 C++ LOC for integration via IPASIR-UP
- » easily replaced with any SAT solver implementing IPASIR-UP


## **IPASIR-UP** in cvc5

- » Full utilization of interface
- notify\_assignment
  - » construct partial assignment for observed theory literals
- notify\_new\_decision\_level and notify\_backtrack
  - » manage incremental solver state
- o cb\_propagate
  - » theory propagations
- o cb\_add\_reason\_clause\_lit
  - » theory explanations
- o cb\_decide
  - » implementation of custom decision heuristics
- cb\_add\_external\_clause\_lit
  - » add lemmas and conflict clauses
- o cb\_check\_found\_model
  - $\gg$  check if current assignment is  $\mathcal{T}$ -satisfiable

### **Evaluation**

- » non-incremental benchmarks of SMT-LIB 2022
- » 300s time limit, 8GB memory limit
- » compare against cvc5 1.0.5 with customized MiniSat
- » promising performance without much tuning or optimizations
- → +1080 solved instances
- $\rightarrow$   $\sim$  2 $\times$  **faster** in several logics
- » 13 of 19 SMT-COMP divisions improved
- » solid baseline for future tuning with IPASIR-UP interface

# **Evaluation: Logics**



# **Evaluation: SMT-COMP Divisions**

	CVC5		CVC5-IPASIRUP	
Division	solved	time [s]	solved	time [s]
Arith (6,865)	6,303	173,628	6,299	176,278
BitVec (6,045)	5,552	153,899	5,529	161,482
Equality (12,159)	5,320	2,062,804	5,322	2,061,758
Equality+LinearArith (53,453)	45,902	2,288,230	45,906	2,288,352
Equality+MachineArith (6,071)	983	1,533,646	987	1,532,782
Equality+NonLinearArith (21,104)	13,314	2,419,535	13,053	2,486,588
FPArith (3,965)	3,145	268,628	3,155	266,245
QF_Bitvec (42,472)	40,321	984,880	40,320	985,946
QF_Datatypes (8,403)	8,077	110,704	8,168	82,878
QF_Equality (8,054)	8,044	9,394	8,047	7,169
QF_Equality+Bitvec (16,585)	15,817	307,558	16,015	234,369
QF_Equality+LinearArith (3,442)	3,388	23,041	3,381	23,465
QF_Equality+NonLinearArith (709)	627	27,428	629	27,598
QF_FPArith (76,238)	76,054	94,487	76,081	76,700
QF_LinearIntArith (16,387)	11,670	1,575,635	12,004	1,512,696
QF_LinearRealArith (2,008)	1,721	130,408	1,766	113,919
QF_NonLinearIntArith (25,361)	13,037	4,094,712	13,682	3,840,933
QF_NonLinearRealArith (12,134)	11,166	333,933	11,238	316,728
QF_Strings (69,908)	69,357	203,677	69,296	230,918
Total (391,363)	339,798	16,796,234	340,878	16,426,813

## Conclusion

- » Generic interface to inspect and influence CDCL search
  - o Simple & Flexible » relatively easy to implement
  - Sufficient to simplify several use cases
- >> Implemented in a complex, modern SAT solver
  - Allows inprocessing of non-changing parts
- » Evaluated in representative use cases (SMS, SMT)
  - o Captures the necessary interactions of a very wide range of use cases
  - promising results

#### **Future Work**

- SAT: more inprocessing, external proofs of external clauses
- » cvc5: DRAT proof integration