

**F6.** If  $C$  and  $C'$  are closed cones, and if  $C^* + C'^*$  is closed, we have  $(C \cap C')^* = C^* + C'^*$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary sets we have  $A^* + B^* \subseteq (A \cap B)^*$ , for if  $x \in A^* + B^*$  and  $y \in A \cap B$  then  $x \cdot y = a \cdot y + b \cdot y \geq 0$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary sets including 0 then  $(A + B)^* \subseteq A^* \cap B^*$  by F2, because  $A + B \supseteq A$  and  $A + B \supseteq B$ . Thus for arbitrary  $A$  and  $B$  we have  $(A^* + B^*)^* \subseteq A^{**} \cap B^{**}$ , hence

$$(A^* + B^*)^{**} \supseteq (A^{**} \cap B^{**})^*.$$

Now let  $A$  and  $B$  be closed cones for which  $A^* + B^*$  is closed; then  $A^* + B^* \supseteq (A \cap B)^*$  by F5.  $\square$

**F7.** If  $C$  and  $C'$  are closed cones, and if  $C + C'$  is closed, we have  $(C + C')^* = C^* \cap C'^*$ .

*Proof.* F6 says  $(C^* \cap C'^*)^* = C^{**} + C'^{**}$ ; apply F5 and  $*$  again.  $\square$

**F8.** Let  $S$  be any set of indices and let  $\bar{S}$  be all the indices not in  $S$ . Also let  $A_S = \{a \mid a_s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ . Then

$$A_S^* = A_{\bar{S}}.$$

*Proof.* If  $b_s = 0$  for all  $s \notin S$  and  $a_s = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ , obviously  $a \cdot b = 0$ ; so  $A_{\bar{S}} \subseteq A_S^*$ . If  $b_s \neq 0$  for some  $s \notin S$  and  $a_t = 0$  for all  $t \neq s$  and  $a_s = -b_s$ , then  $a \in A_S$  and  $a \cdot b < 0$ ; so  $b \notin A_S^*$ , hence  $A_{\bar{S}} \supseteq A_S^*$ .  $\square$

### 9. Definite Proof of a Semidefinite Fact

Now we are almost ready to prove the result needed in the proof of Lemma 7.

Let  $D$  be the set of real symmetric positive semidefinite matrices (called “spuds” henceforth for brevity), considered as vectors in  $N$ -dimensional space, where  $N = (n + 1)n/2$ . We use the inner product  $A \cdot B = \text{tr } A^T B$ ; this is justified if we divide off-diagonal elements by  $\sqrt{2}$ . For example, if  $n = 3$  the correspondence between 6-dimensional vectors and  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrices is

$$(a, b, c, d, e, f) \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & d/\sqrt{2} & e/\sqrt{2} \\ d/\sqrt{2} & b & f/\sqrt{2} \\ e/\sqrt{2} & f/\sqrt{2} & c \end{pmatrix}$$

preserving sum, scalar product, and dot product. A real symmetric matrix  $\notin D$  has a negative eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , and we can write

$$A = Q \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) Q^T \tag{9.1}$$

for some orthogonal matrix  $Q$ . Thus  $x^T A x = \lambda$  for the unit vector  $x = Q(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ ; it follows easily that  $D$  is a closed cone.

The cone of spuds turns out to be self-dual:

**F9.**  $D^* = D$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  and  $B$  are spuds then  $A = X^T X$  and  $B = Y^T Y$  and  $A \cdot B = \text{tr } X^T X Y^T Y = \text{tr } X Y^T Y X^T = (Y X^T) \cdot (Y X^T) \geq 0$ ; hence  $D \subseteq D^*$ . (In fact, this argument shows that  $A \cdot B = 0$  if and only if  $AB = 0$ , for any spuds  $A$  and  $B$ , since  $A = A^T$ .)

Conversely, assuming (9.1), let  $B = Q \text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0) Q^T$ ; then  $B$  is a spud, and

$$A \cdot B = \text{tr } A^T B = \text{tr } Q \text{diag}(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) Q^T = \lambda < 0.$$

So  $A$  is not in  $D^*$ ; this proves  $D \supseteq D^*$ .  $\square$

Let  $E$  be the set of all real symmetric matrices such that  $E_{uv} = 0$  when  $u - v$  in a graph  $G$ ; let  $F$  be the set of all real symmetric matrices such that  $F_{uv} = 0$  when  $u = v$  or  $u \not\sim v$ . The Fact stated in Section 7 is now equivalent in our new notation to

**Fact.**  $(D \cap E)^* \subseteq D + F$ .

*Proof.* We have  $D + F = D^* + E^*$  by F8 and F9. The argument in the Appendix below proves that  $D + F$  is closed. Hence  $(D \cap E)^* = D + F$  by F6.  $\square$

## 10. Another Characterization

Remember  $\vartheta$ ,  $\vartheta_1$ ,  $\vartheta_2$ , and  $\vartheta_3$ ? We are now going to introduce yet another function

$$\vartheta_4(G, w) = \max \left\{ \sum_v c(b_v) w_v \mid \begin{array}{l} b \text{ is an orthogonal labeling of } \overline{G} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (10.1)$$

**Lemma.**  $\vartheta_3(G, w) \leq \vartheta_4(G, w)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $b$  is a normalized orthogonal labeling of  $\overline{G}$  that achieves the maximum  $\vartheta_3$ ; and suppose the vectors of this labeling have dimension  $d$ . Let

$$x_k = \sum_v b_{kv} \sqrt{w_v}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq d; \quad (10.2)$$

- [18] M. W. Padberg, “On the facial structure of set packing polyhedra,” *Mathematical Programming* **5** (1973), 199–215.
- [19] Claude E. Shannon, “The zero error capacity of a channel,” *IRE Transactions on Information Theory* **2, 3** (September 1956), 8–19.

### Addendum

The original paper tacitly assumed that the sum  $A + B$  of closed cones is closed; but that assumption is false. For example, if  $C$  is the “three-dimensional ice cream cone” defined by  $\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2} \leq c_3$ , and if  $C' = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3)^T \mid b_1 \geq b_3\}$ , we have  $C = C^*$  and  $C'^* = \{(t, 0, -t)^T \mid t \geq 0\}$  and  $(0, 1, 0)^t \in (C \cap C')^* \setminus (C^* + C'^*)$ . That violates the conclusion of F6.

This counterexample was pointed out by Evan DeCorte in 2014, who also found a valid proof of the crucial Fact in Section 9: Suppose we have a convergent sequence  $A_n + B_n \rightarrow C$ , where  $A_n \in D$  and  $B_n \in F$ ; we shall prove that  $C \in D + F$ , hence  $D + F$  is closed.

Let  $\lambda_{n1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{nN}$  be the eigenvalues of  $B_n$ . We have  $\lambda_{n1} = \Lambda(B_n) \geq 0$ , because  $\lambda_{n1} + \dots + \lambda_{nN} = \text{tr } B_n = 0$ . We also have  $\Lambda(B_n) \leq \Lambda(A_n + B_n)$  by (6.2); furthermore  $\Lambda(A_n + B_n) \leq M$  for some  $M$  and all  $n$ , because of the convergence. Hence  $\lambda_{nN} \geq -MN$ , and all eigenvalues of  $B_n$  are uniformly bounded. Therefore there is a subsequence in which all eigenvalues  $\lambda_{ni}$  converge to limiting values  $\lambda_i$ . It follows that  $B_n \rightarrow B \in F$  and  $A_n \rightarrow C - B \in D$ .

Further information can be found in A. Galtman, “Spectral characterizations of the Lovász number and the Delsarte number of a graph,” *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **12** (2000), 131–143.

Problem P6 has been solved for  $p = 1/2$  by Uriel Feige and Robert Krauthgamer, “The probable value of the Lovász–Schrijver relaxations for maximum independent set,” *SIAM Journal on Computing* **32** (2003), 345–370.