

## Solutions to Practice Midterm 2

1. Consider the following time-bounded variant of Kolmogorov complexity, written  $K_L(x)$ , and defined to be the shortest string  $\langle M, w, t \rangle$  where  $t$  is a positive integer written in binary, and  $M$  is a TM that on input  $w$  halts with  $x$  on its tape within  $t$  steps.
  - (a) Show that  $K_L(x)$  is computable (by describing an algorithm that on input  $x$  outputs  $K_L(x)$ ).
  - (b) Prove that for all positive integers  $n$ , there exists a string  $x$  of length  $n$  such that  $K(x) = O(\log n)$  and  $K_L(x) \geq n$ . (In fact, there is an algorithm that on input  $n$  finds such a  $x$ .)

SOLUTION OUTLINE:

- (a) Here's an algorithm for computing  $K_L(x)$ . On input  $x$ ,
    1. Go through all binary strings  $s$  in lexicographic order, and for each such  $s$ , parse  $s$  as  $\langle M, w, t \rangle$  for some TM  $M$ , input  $w$  and integer  $t$ . If  $s$  fails to parse, move to the next such  $s$ .
    2. Simulate  $M$  on input  $w$  for up to  $t$  steps. If it halts within  $t$  steps with  $x$  on its tape, output  $|s|$ .
  - (b) By a counting argument, it is easy to see that for every  $n$ , there exists a string  $x_n$  of length at least  $n$  such that  $K_L(x_n) \geq n$ . Choose  $x_n$  to be the lexicographically first such string. Now, consider the machine  $T$  that on input an integer  $n$  written as a binary string, enumerates over all binary strings  $s$  in lexicographic order, computes  $K_L(s)$ , and outputs the first  $s$  such that  $K_L(s) \geq n$ . Then,  $T(n) = x_n$ , so  $\langle T, n \rangle$  is a description for  $x_n$  and thus  $K(x_n) = O(\log n)$ .
2. (Sipser 7.41) For a cnf-formula  $\phi$  with  $m$  variables and  $c$  clauses (that is,  $\phi$  is the AND of  $c$  clauses, each of which is an OR of several variables), show that you can construct in polynomial time an NFA with  $O(cm)$  states that accepts all nonsatisfying assignments, represented as Boolean strings of length  $m$ . Conclude that the problem of minimizing NFAs (that is, on input a NFA, find the NFA with the smallest number of states that recognizes the same language) cannot be done in polynomial time unless  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ .

SOLUTION OUTLINE: On input  $\phi$ , construct a NFA  $N$  that nondeterministically picks one of the  $c$  clauses (via  $\epsilon$ -transitions), reads the input of length  $m$ , and accepts if it does not satisfy the clause, and rejects otherwise. In addition,  $N$  also accepts all inputs of length not equal to  $m$ . For each clause, we need  $O(m)$  states, so  $N$  has  $O(cm)$  states. It is clear that  $N$  can be computed in polynomial time. In addition, for any nonsatisfying assignment  $a$ , at least one clause is not satisfied, so  $N$  accepts  $a$ . Conversely, if  $N$  accepts  $a$ , some clause is not satisfied, so  $a$  is a nonsatisfying assignment. Hence,  $N$  accepts all the nonsatisfying assignments of  $\phi$ .

Next, suppose the problem of minimizing NFAs can be done in polynomial time. Then, consider the polynomial-time algorithm that on input a 3cnf formula  $\phi$  with  $m$  clauses, constructs

a NFA  $N$  that accepts all the nonsatisfying assignments of  $\phi$ . Observe that  $N$  accepts all binary strings iff  $\phi$  is not satisfiable. Now, run the NFA minimizing algorithm to produce a new NFA  $N'$ . If  $N'$  contains exactly one state and accepts all binary strings, reject  $\phi$ ; otherwise, accept  $\phi$ . This yields a polynomial-time algorithm for 3SAT, and hence  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ .

3. (Sipser 7.33) Prove that the following language is NP-hard

$$D = \{\langle p \rangle \mid p \text{ is a polynomial in several variables having an integral root}\}$$

(The problem is in fact, undecidable. Turing first published the notion of a Turing machine and formalization of algorithms to prove the undecidability of this very problem.)

SOLUTION OUTLINE: We reduce 3SAT to  $D$  as follows. For each clause  $c_i$ , we define a polynomial  $p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  iff there is a way of assigning values 0/1 to the variables in  $c_i$  such that the clause is satisfied. For (say)  $c_i = (x_2 \vee \bar{x}_5 \vee x_7)$ , we have  $p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (1 - x_2)x_5(1 - x_7)$ , which is zero if and only if  $x_2 = 1$ ,  $x_5 = 0$  or  $x_7 = 1$ . Interpreting 1 as **true** and 0 as **false**, this is consistent with the formula.

We then define  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m (p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n))^2$ , where  $m$  is the total number of clauses. Since,  $P$  can be zero only when each of the individual  $p_i$ 's is zero, an integral root of  $P$  gives a satisfying assignment to the given formula and vice-versa.