A program expresses an algorithm to the computer. A program is clear or "readable" if it also does a good job of communicating the algorithm to a human. Readability is vital for projects involving more than one person. It's also important when the program is of sufficient size that you come across pieces of code you wrote, but which you don't remember. It's a traumatic experience the first time it happens. A bug is essentially a piece of code which does not say what the programmer intended. So readable code is easier to debug since the discrepancy between the intention and the code is easier to spot.

**Documentation**

I once took a class at an un-named east-bay university where the commenting seemed to be judged on bulk alone. In reaction, my programming partner and I wrote a Pascal program which would go through a Pascal program and add comments. For each function, it would add a large box of *'s surrounding a list of the parameters. Essentially our program was able to produce comments about things which could be obviously deduced from the code. The fluffy mounds of low-content comments generated by our program were eaten up by our unimaginative grader.

The best commenting comes from giving classes, types, variables, functions etc. meaningful names to begin with so the code where they appear doesn't need comments. Add in a few comments where things still need to be explained and you're done. This is far preferable to a large number of low-content comments.

**Overview**

Every program, module, or class should begin with an overview comment. The overview is the single most important comment in a program. It's the first thing that anyone reading your code will read. The overview comment explains, in general terms, what strategy the program uses to produce its output. The program header should lay out a roadmap of how the algorithm works—pointing out the important routines and discussing the data structures. The overview should mention the role of any other files or modules which the program depends on. Essentially, the overview contains all the information which is not specific or low-level enough to be in a function or method comment, but which is helpful for understanding the module as a whole.

In the latter paragraphs of the overview, feel free to generalize and include any information which you feel is interesting. For example, the overview might include the engineering rational for the algorithm chosen, or discuss alternate approaches which might be better. The overview can also introduce the programmer's opinions or suggestions. It's often interesting to see the programmer's feelings on which parts of the program were the hardest or most interesting, or which parts most need to be improved.

For coursework, the overview should also include uninteresting but vital information like: your name, what class the program is for, your section, and when the program is being handed in. In commercial code, the overview will also list, most recent first, all the revisions made to the code with author and date.
Identifiers
The first step in documenting code is choosing meaningful names for things. This is potentially the last step, since code with good identifiers will need little additional commenting. For variables, types, and record field names the question is "What is it?" For functions, the question is "What does it do?" A well named variable or function helps document all the code where it appears. By the way, there are approximately 230,000 words in the English Language—"temp" is only one of them, and not even a very meaningful one.

Common Idioms for Variables
There are a couple variable naming idioms that are so common among programmers, that even short names are meaningful, since they have been seen so often.

  i, j, k    Integer loop counters.
  n, len, length    Integer number of elements in some sort of aggregation
  x, y    Cartesian coordinates. May be integer or real.
  head, current, trail, last    Pointers used to iterate over lists.

Use Nouns
The uses of the above are so common, that I don't mind their lack of content. However, in all other cases, I prefer identifiers that mean something. If a variable contains a list of floats which represent the heights of all the students, don't call it list, and don't call it floats, call it heights. Plurals are good for variables which contain many things. This applies to names for structure members as well as variables.

  Don't reiterate the data structure being used. e.g. list, table, array.

  Don't reiterate the types involved if you know more specifically what the value is. e.g. number, string, floatValue, anything containing the word Value or Temp.

  Do say what value is being stored. Use the most specific noun which is still accurate. e.g. height, pixelCount, names. If you have a collection of floating point numbers, but you don't know what they represent, then something less specific like floats is ok.

Functions
The comment for a function or method should address two things:

  1) What does it do?    Abstraction
  2) How does it do it?    Implementation

The abstraction is of interest for someone who wants to use it. The implementation is of interest to someone trying to modify or debug it. A function with a well chosen name and well named parameters may not need any abstraction documentation. A routine where the implementation is very simple may not need any implementation documentation.
**Abstraction**
Abstraction documentation is like an owner's manual for the function. It describes how to use the function—what it does and what it can and cannot tolerate as input. An easy way to come up with a good abstraction comment is to look at the parameters of the routine, and then explain what the routine does to them. Abstraction documentation need not introduce details of the implementation.

**Implementation**
Implementation commenting is part is the traditional programmer-to-programmer documentation which describes how the code implements the abstraction. The Implementation comment should not repeat what the code says. Instead, the implementation comment should discuss the overall flow or strategy of the routine. Some programmers make a point of not letting any implementation-oriented comments make their way into the .h files where they might be seen by a client.

The following examples are meant to illustrate function documentation. They are not necessarily a representation of how much you should comment every function. The amount of commenting a function requires is related to its complexity and importance. Some programs break down so nicely that no one function is very complex, and the names of the functions document most of what's going on. Other programs have a fundamental complexity which emerges in a few key functions. These functions deserve a lot more commenting. Also, the conventions followed as to format, capitalization, etc are not important. Some people like to label the "abstraction" and "implementation" documentation and write them as separate paragraphs. Some don't use the labels and document the routine in a single paragraph which addresses both. Some people prefer to defer more of the implementation discussion to inline comments. Please use whatever style you are most comfortable with. Any reasonable, consistent approach is acceptable.
void SortedListInsert(List list, Element elem);

No abstraction comment is really required—what the function does is completely apparent from its name, assuming the function does not make any hidden assumptions about the list parameter beyond those given in the program header about the use of the type List.

/*
Implementation: This function recurs down LIST to find the right place to insert ELEM into increasing order. When the correct spot is found, a new LISTELEMENT is allocated, initialized, and inserted. The recursion has the general flow:
1) base: if LIST is empty, then insert at head
2) check: if ELEM is less or equal to the first list element, then insert at head
3) recur: otherwise recur on the rest of the list
A while loop could be used to do this a little more efficiently, but its messier.
*/

The comment is a general description of the flow and purpose of the body of code. The comment takes advantage of the natural breakdown provided by the three cases of the recursion. It doesn't get into the detail of individual lines—instead it outlines the general flow. Almost all routines have some sort of natural decomposition into "cases" or "phases" which can be a good starting point for the documentation. This might be a bit much documentation for a program where you would expect the reader to know how to do something as common as a recursive linked list insertion.

Relationship FindFirstRelationship(FamilyTree tree,
                                  Person male, Person female);

;/*
Abstraction: This function finds the first relationship between MALE and FEMALE who are assumed to be distinct PERSONs, both of whom are in TREE somewhere. The "first relationship" is defined to be the relationship defined by the common ancestor lowest in the tree. The "lowest" part of the restriction is important in the case that MALE and FEMALE are related in several different ways.

Implementation: This function first uses the function SETOFANCESTORS to return all the ancestors of MALE and EVE. The Set Module function INTERSECT is then used to find the set of common ancestors. A single pass of this set then locates the person who is lowest in the tree. This is easy since each PERSON record contains that person's level in the tree. The function AncestorToRelationship is then used to compute the RELATIONSHIP. An alternate approach might be to compute and compare MALE and FEMALE 's ancestors breadth first, one level at a time, so as to find the lowest common ancestor more quickly and potentially without having to look at most of the tree. The disadvantage of that approach is that the cost at each level is much higher even if the number of levels seen is less. Such an approach might make sense if MALE and FEMALE were going to be closely related most of the time, or if the tree were very large.
*/

The abstraction comment makes the assumptions about the input explicit, and gives a good definition of the output. The implementation comment outlines the three logical steps of the code. Each step may require all sorts of messy pointer manipulation and special case testing which the comment does not get into. The comment sticks to the point of what is going on at each step. It's fine to refer to other routines in the program in the explanation. The digression into the alternate
approach is reasonable because in the case of this program, this function is the hardest, most
important part of the whole thing. From an engineering standpoint it is unclear which algorithm is
better. The comment shares what the programmer has thought of so far, which is sure to be useful
for the next programmer who has to come through and improve/repair the code. Such a discussion
of alternate approaches might reasonably occur in the program header instead.

**No Useless Comments!**
A useless comment is worse than no comment at all— it still takes time to read and distracts from
the code without adding information. If you don't have anything meaningful to say, then don't say
anything. The following comments would get fewer points than code with no comments at all.

```c
int counter;  /* declare a counter variable */
i = i + 1;    /* add 1 to i */
while (index<length)...       /* while the index is less than the length */
num = num + 3 - (num % 3);    /* add 3 to num and subtract num mod 3 */
```

**Inline Comments**
Most of the rest of your comments will be "inline" comments. An inline comment explains the
function of some nearby code. The golden rule for inline comments is: do not repeat what the code
says. Code is a great vehicle for unambiguous, detail-oriented information. Comments should fill
in the broader sort of information that code does not communicate.

If your identifiers are good, most lines will require no inline comments. An inline comment is
appropriate if the code is complex enough that a comment could explain what is going on better
than the code itself. Of the above snippets of code, only the last is complex enough that its function
is not completely obvious after a single reading. And in that case, the comment is useless anyway.
Complexity is probably the simplest reason a line might deserve a comment. A line may also
deserve a comment if it's important, unintuitive, dangerous, or just interesting. A good rule of
thumb is: *explain what the code accomplishes rather than repeat what the code says.* If what the
code accomplishes is obvious, then don't bother. Here's a more useful comment:

```c
num = num + 3 - (num % 3); /* increment num to the next multiple of 3 */
```

Another useful role for inline comments is to narrate the flow of a routine. An inline comment
might explain the role of a piece of code in terms of the overall strategy of the routine. Inline
comments can introduce a logical block in the code. Begin-End blocks and the beginnings of loops
are good spots for this sort of comment. As above, it's most useful to describe what is
accomplished by the code.

```c
/*
The following while loop locates the first vowel to occur
twice in succession in the array
*/
```
Another useful type of comment will assert what must be true at certain point.

    /*
     * The file pointer must now be at the left hand side of a parenthesized
     * expression.
     */

or

    /*
     * Because of the exit condition of the above loop,
     * at least one of the child fields must be NULL at this point.
     */

Such a condition is called an "invariant". Invariants are a useful sort of mental checkpoint to put in your code. You'll be less likely to get loop conditions, etc. wrong if you think about and put in invariants as you are writing. One way to put invariants in your code which help debugging and help documentation is to sprinkle your code with assert statements. Asserts are an excellent habit.

    assert(filePointer != NULL);

or

    assert( (child1 != NULL) || (child2 != NULL));

Try not to allow inline comments to interfere visually with the code. Separate inline comments from the code with whitespace. Either set them off to the right, or put them on their own lines. In either case, it's visually helpful to align the left hand sides of the comments in a region. Alternately, some of the issues addressed in inline comments can be treated just as well in the implementation section of the function's comment. Whether you prefer inline comments or header implementation comments is a matter of personal choice.